

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/558,360	11/25/2005	Takuma Hashimoto	P28811	3905	
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 09/25/200 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAN	D CLARKE PLACE		KHOSRAVIANI, ARMAN		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	_
	10/558,360	HASHIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Arman Khosraviani	2818	

Arman Khosraviani 2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fel) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 760 G107().					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for pruposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action: or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later in an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require (ruther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 Interest and the sum of the compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Image: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Applicant's tepy has overcome use following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s): 6.18 and 20 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. ∑ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ∑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 16.18 and 20. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.17.19 and 21-28.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CPR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:					
/Steven Loke/ SPE, AU 2818					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As presently amended, claims 1, 11, and 22 fail to overcome a rejection based on the citied prior art Sugimoto and Harrah. Claim 1 was amended to incorporate rejected claim 2. Claim 11 was amended to incorporate rejected claim 1. Claim 22 was amended to incorporate rejected claim 21.

With respect to claim 1: As cited in the Advisory Action, the language of 'the first plate of the mount base of said submount is bonded thermally to a portion of the metallic plate... by removing the insulator layer and the pattern layer' is considered method of forming the device of claim 1 and not limitations of the final product. Therefore, such limitations are given no patentable weight. The combination of Sugimpto and Harrah backets the final structure.

Harrah teaches electrically conducting lines 34, 36 of said submount (...comprising a mount base made of an electrically insulating material (e.g. ceramic), at least one light-emitting diode chip mounted thereon 28 and electrically conducting lines formed on the mount base to be connected electrically (top contacts, vias, solder and bottom contacts) to the light-emitting diode chip) are connected electrically to the electrical connection pattern layer 8 of said first plate (by vias 38, 40).

With respect to claim 11: Figure 4a of Sugimoto shows a mount base 4, with a groove formed thereon.

With respect to claim 22: metal traces 20 formed on second plate 12 between insulator layer 18 constitute an electrical connection pattern layer connected electrically to electrically conducting linesof said submount where submount has lines to IC 21.